

Angela Foster

From: Colin O'Dell
Sent: 05 September 2018 15:48
To: TRANSPORTANDWORKSACT
Subject: NETWORK RAIL EAST WEST RAIL TWA ORDER Notice ewr-145-Notice_2
ewr-145-Notice_1

To the Secretary of state:

Notice ewr-145-Notice_2 ewr-145-Notice_1

This is a formal objection to the plans No 661 the 259 sq. meters of wooded area and footpath WIS/6/9 to the rear of 6, 8,10 and alongside no 37 Buckingham Road in the Parish of Winslow

I live at [redacted] and on my deeds it says that we are to maintain and co-opt the footpaths and by ways of the surround property.

The land was originally owned by a farm called Cobblescore and then sold to build the houses in Highfield rd.

We have used this road as vehicle access to the back of our properties, gardens and houses for maintenance since they were built and me personally since 1990.

We have maintained the bushes and kept down weeds on this vehicle access as I am aware for the last 30 years.

The late objection has come about as.

We originally had no objection to the temporary use of this land as long as we knew the dates. So any use may be worked around e.g. I have a large shed being delivered.

But now, and it feels snuck in. They wish to reduce the size of this vehicle access and take half of it for their use. Which will result in there no longer being a vehicle access to any of our properties at the rear.

This was not shown on any of the original plans just on the ones recently circulated which seems very underhand.

The utilities have just laid a large Gas pipe down the middle of this path exactly where they wish to put the new fence which I wonder if they are aware of.

We have applied jointly to land registry for ourselves to take full ownership of this land as a permanent right of way for vehicle access as the properties have been using this since 1960.

This objection is made on behalf of myself Colin O'Dell and Mr Michael Waters from no

He is too old to formally send an email himself and has asked me to put his objection forward as he needs to get vehicles alongside his house when maintenance is needed including scaffolding if any roof work needs doing.

This again is not an objection to them using the land just the co-option of half of it restricting the use to us as we have looked after and maintained and believe our deeds show this.

We class ourselves as occupiers of this land due to the amount of years we have used and looked after it.

There also seems no need for them to purchase this extra strip of land as they do not require it further along the path beyond the back of no 6. The plan drawing is sheet 24 of 136 and the number they put on it is 0660.

I am hoping you will look on this sympathetically as they have not contacted us and explained why they need this extra piece of land.

I am formally requesting a public local inquiry into this so our voice can be heard if they think it is a good idea to restrict the access to the back of our houses.

Colin O'Dell

Winslow

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>
